arXiv:1608.00333v1 [math.FA] 1 Aug 2016

REMOVABLE SETS FOR WEIGHTED ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

NIJJWAL KARAK

Abstract. The aim in the present paper is to study removable sets for weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. We generalize the definition of porous sets and show that the porous sets lying in a hyperplane are removable.

Keywords: Removable sets, Porosity, Weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B15.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ denote the n-dimensional Euclidean space and Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will often write a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $x = (x', x_n)$, where $x' = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

In [Kos99], Koskela studied removable sets for Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed set of zero Lebesgue n-measure, then we say that E is removable for $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as sets. Recall that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ provided $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and there are functions $\partial_j u \in L^p(\Omega)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, so that

$$\int_\Omega u \partial_j \phi \, dx = -\int_\Omega \phi \partial_j u \, dx \tag{1.1}$$

for each test function $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Here dx denotes the usual Lebesgue measure. It is immediate that removability is a local behavior, that is, E is removable for $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if for each $x \in E$ there exists $r > 0$ so that $W^{1,p}(B(x,r) \setminus E) = W^{1,p}(B(x,r))$ as sets. Also observe that E is removable if and only if for each $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$ the functions $\partial_j u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$ satisfy (1.1) (with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$) for each $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and not only for $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$.

The structure of removable sets has been studied by several authors. Ahlfors and Beurling [AB50] introduced the so called NED sets as the sets whose removal does not effect extremal length and proved that those sets are the removable singularities for Dirichlet

finite analytic and univalent functions. The connection between removable sets and removable singularities for quasiconformal mappings has been studied in [Res89], [KW96] and [Wu98]. However, Koskela's work in [Kos99] produce concrete criteria for removability using a concept of p-porosity.

Porosity of a set lying on a hyperplane is essentially determined by their thickness, see [Kos99] for the concrete definition. In [Kos99], Koskela proved that if E is p-porous, then E is removable for $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This result has been extended to Orlicz-Sobolev spaces in [Kar15] and to weighted Sobolev spaces in [FM03]. In this paper, we consider this problem of removability for weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Let $p > 1$, $-1 < \alpha < p-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. When E is restricted to subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we consider the weights of the form $\rho(x)^\alpha$, where $\rho(x)$ denotes the distance of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, that is, $\rho(x) = |x_n|$ for $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let μ_α be the Borel measure

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = \rho(x)^\alpha dx = |x_n|^\alpha dx,$$

where $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $W^{1,\Psi}(\Omega, \mu_\alpha)$ denote the weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of all functions $u \in L^\Psi(\Omega, \mu_\alpha)$ whose distributional gradient $\nabla u = (\partial_1 u, \ldots, \partial_n u)$ also belongs to $L^\Psi(\Omega, \mu_\alpha)$, where $\Psi(t) = t^p \log^\lambda(e + t)$. Weighted Sobolev spaces has been studied in the book [HKM06], whereas details on Orlicz spaces and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces can be found in the book [RR91] and in the dissertation [Tuo04] respectively. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed set with $\mu_\alpha(E) = 0$, then we say that E is removable for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha)$ if

$$W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E, \mu_\alpha) = W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha).$$

As in [Kos99], E is removable for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha)$ if and only if each function $u \in W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E, \mu_\alpha)$ the functions $\partial_j u \in L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E, \mu_\alpha)$ satisfy (1.1) (with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$) for each $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and not only for $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E)$.

In [Kar15], the author has defined (p, λ)-porosity and proved that (p, λ)-porous sets lying on the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ are removable for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for Orlicz functions $\Psi(t) = t^p \log^\lambda(e + t)$. In this paper, (p, λ)-porosity has been generalized to (p, λ, α)-porosity and removabilty of these sets lying in the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha)$ has been established. For the definition of (p, λ, α)-porous sets, please see Section 3. Roughly speaking, a set E is (p, λ, α)-porous if every small neighbourhood of every point in E contains a hole with certain diameter. These holes are either balls or continua depending on the

parameters p, λ and α. Here is our main theorem:

Theorem A. Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, $1 < p < n+\alpha$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If E is (p, λ, α)-porous, then E is removable for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha)$. This is also true for $p = n+\alpha$, and $\lambda \leq n+\alpha-1$, where $\alpha > -1$.

The restriction $\lambda < n+\alpha-1$ for $p = n+\alpha$ is natural beacause of the same reason as discussed in Section 3 of [Kar15].

The main idea behind the removability of (p, λ, α)-porous sets is the following. As mentioned above, it suffices to prove that (1.1) holds for each $u \in C^1(\Omega \setminus E) \cap W^{1,\Psi}(\Omega \setminus E, \mu_\alpha)$ and for each $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$. By the Fubini theorem and the usual integration by parts it suffices to show that the one sided limits $\lim_{t\to 0+} u(x', t)$ and $\lim_{t\to 0-} u(x', t)$ coincide for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-a.e. $x = (x', 0) \in E$. This is established via sharp capacity estimates and the existence of "holes" in E guaranteed by the porosity condition. Some of the ideas have been borrowed from [HK98, Theorem 5.9] and [Kar15].

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Professor Pekka Koskela for helpful comments and suggestions.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

A function $\Psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a Young function if

$$\Psi(s) = \int_0^s \psi(t)\, dt,$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\psi(0) = 0$, is an increasing, left-continuous function which is neither identically zero nor identically infinite on $(0, \infty)$. A Young function Ψ is convex, increasing, left-continuous and satisfies

$$\Psi(0) = 0, \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \Psi(t) = \infty.$$

The generalized inverse of a Young function Ψ, $\Psi^{-1} : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$, is defined by the formula

$$\Psi^{-1}(t) = \inf\{s : \Psi(s) > t\},$$

where $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$. A Young function Ψ and its generalized inverse satisfy the double inequality

$$\Psi(\Psi^{-1}(t)) \leq t \leq \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(t))$$

for all $t \geq 0$. In this article we will only consider the Young functions $\Psi(t) = t^p \log^\lambda(e+t)$ and in that case we have for all $t \geq 0$

$$\Psi^{-1}(t) \approx t^{\frac{1}{p}} / \log^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}(e+t). \tag{2.1}$$

Here $A \approx B$ denotes the two sided inequality $C_1 B \leq A \leq C_2 B$ for some constants C_1 and C_2. For a general Young function Ψ, a Borel measure μ and an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the Orlicz space $L^\Psi(\Omega, \mu)$ is defined by

$$L^\Psi(\Omega,\mu) = \{u : \Omega \to [-\infty, \infty] : u \text{ measurable, } \int_\Omega \Psi(\alpha|u|)\, d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\}.$$

A function $u \in L^\Psi(\Omega, \mu)$ is in the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,\Psi}(\Omega, \mu)$ if its weak partial derivatives (distributional derivatives) $\partial_j u$ belong to $L^\Psi(\Omega, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. In this article we write $L^\Psi(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,\Psi}(\Omega)$ instead of $L^\Psi(\Omega, \mathcal{L}^n)$ and $W^{1,\Psi}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}^n)$ respectively.

Let us also recall the Poincaré inequality. A pair $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ and a measurable function $g \geq 0$ satisfy a $(1,p)$-Poincaré inequality, $p \geq 1$, if there exist constants $C_p > 0$ and $\tau \geq 1$, such that

$$\fint_B |u - u_B|\, dz \leq C_p r \left(\fint_{\tau B} g^p \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.2}$$

for each ball $B = B(x, r)$ satisfying $\tau B \subset \Omega$. Recall that if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in W^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, then the inequality (2.2) holds for $g = |\nabla u|$ with $\tau = 1$, $p = 1$ and the constant depending only on n. Here and throughout the article, u_B is the average of u in $B(x, r)$ and the barred integrals are the averaged integrals, that is $\fint_A v\, d\mu = \mu(A)^{-1} \int_A v\, d\mu$.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < \delta \leq \infty$. We define α-dimensional Hausdorff measure by setting

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) = \limsup_{\delta \to 0} H^\alpha_\delta(E),$$

where

$$H^\alpha_\delta(E) = \inf \sum_i c(\alpha)(\mathrm{diam}(B_i))^\alpha,$$

where $c(\alpha)$ is a fixed constant and the infimum is taken over all collections of balls $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\mathrm{diam}(B_i) \leq \delta$ and $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$. Recall that the *Hausdorff α-content* of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the number

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\infty(E) = \inf \sum_i (\mathrm{diam}(B_i))^\alpha,$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable covers of the set E by balls B_i.

For the convenience of reader we state here a fundamental covering lemma (for a proof see [Fed69, 2.8.4-6] or [Zie89, Theorem 1.3.1]).

Lemma 2.1 (5B-covering lemma)**.** *Every family $\mathcal{F}$ of balls of uniformly bounded diameter in a metric space X contains a pairwise disjoint subfamily $\mathcal{G}$ such that for every $B \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $B' \in \mathcal{G}$ with $B \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{diam}(B) < 2\operatorname{diam}(B')$. In particular, we have that*

$$\bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{F}} B \subset \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{G}} 5B.$$

It is also worthy to mention that we use C, c and M to denote constants and they may change in every line, whereas we use C_x and c_x to denote constants depending on the point x.

3. Proof of Theorem A

First we generalize the definition of (p,λ)-porosity in [Kar15] to (p,λ,α)-porosity in a suitable way.

Definition 3.1. Let $p > 1, -1 < \alpha < p-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is (p,λ,α)-porous, if for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-a.e. $x \in E$, there is a sequence of $r_i > 0$ and a constant $c_x > 0$ such that $r_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ and each $(n-1)$-dimensional ball $B(x, r_i)$ contains
(i) a ball $B_i \subset B(x, r_i) \setminus E$ of radius R_i with $R_i^{n+\alpha-p} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) \geq C_x {r_i}^{n-1}$ when $1+\alpha < p < n+\alpha-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) a ball $B_i \subset B(x, r_i) \setminus E$ of radius R_i with $R_i \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) \geq C_x {r_i}^{n-1}$ when $p = n+\alpha-1$ and $\lambda \leq n+\alpha-1$,
(iii) a continuum $F_i \subset B(x, r_i) \setminus E$ of diameter R_i with $R_i \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) \geq C_x {r_i}^{n-1}$ when $p = n+\alpha-1$ and $\lambda > n+\alpha-1$,
(iv) a continuum $F_i \subset B(x, r_i) \setminus E$ of diameter R_i with $R_i^{n+\alpha-p} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) \geq C_x {r_i}^{n-1}$ when $n+\alpha-1 < p \leq n+\alpha$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

Note that $(p,\lambda,0)$-porosity is same as (p,λ)-porosity defined in [Kar15] except for the cases $p = n-1$, $\lambda \leq n-1$ and $p = n$.
The following lemma is the key to prove Theorem A.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $r > 0$. Let $A \subset B^n(\xi, r) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a continuum with $\mathcal{H}^1_\infty(A) \geq r/3$, when $n + \alpha - 1 < p \leq n + \alpha$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ or $p = n + \alpha - 1$, $\lambda > n + \alpha - 1$. Otherwise let $A \subset B^n(\xi, r) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a set with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}_\infty(A) \geq r/3$, when $1+\alpha < p < n+\alpha-1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ or $p = n+\alpha-1$, $\lambda \leq n+\alpha-1$. Suppose that $u \in W^{1,\Psi}(B^n(\xi, r)^+) \cap C(B^n(\xi, r)^+ \cup A)$ satisfies $u = 0$ on A and $u_{B^n(\xi,r)^+} \geq 1/2$, where $B^n(\xi, r)^+$ denotes the upper half of the n-dimensional ball $B(\xi, r)$. Then*

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq \begin{cases} cr \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) & \text{when } p = n + \alpha - 1, \lambda > n + \alpha - 1, \\ cr^{n+\alpha-p} \log^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point $y \in A$ such that $u_{B^n(y,r)^+} \leq 1/3$. Then we have by Poincaré inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} \leq |u_{B^n(y,r)^+} - u_{B^n(\xi,r)^+}| &\leq M \fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} |u - u_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+}|\, d\mu_\alpha \\ &\leq Mr \fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} |\nabla u|\, d\mu_\alpha \\ &\leq Mr \left(\fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} |\nabla u|^p\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq Mr^{1-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \left(\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} |\nabla u|^p\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

First we consider the case $\lambda \geq 0$. For this we split $B^n(\xi, 2r)^+$ into "good" part $B^n(\xi, 2r)^g$ and "bad" part $B^n(\xi, 2r)^b$ where $B^n(\xi, 2r)^g = \{x \in B^n(\xi, 2r)^+ : |\nabla u(x)| \leq r^{-1/2}\}$ and $B^n(\xi, 2r)^b = \{x \in B^n(\xi, 2r)^+ : |\nabla u(x)| > r^{-1/2}\}$. Using this splitting we obtain

$$\frac{1}{6} \leq Mr^{1/2} + Mr^{1-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}(e + r^{-1/2}) \left(\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^b} |\nabla u|^p \log^{\lambda}(e + |\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq Cr^{n+\alpha-p} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \left(\frac{1}{6} - Mr^{1/2}\right).$$

For the case $\lambda < 0$, we apply Jensen's inequality to (3.1) and use (2.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} &\leq Mr\Psi^{-1} \left(\fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right) \\ &\leq \frac{Mr \left(\fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/p}}{\log^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left(e + \fint_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right)} \end{aligned}$$

We can choose a constant M' such that $\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \le M'$. Therefore

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \ge M r^{n+\alpha-p} \log^\lambda \left(e + M' r^{-(n+\alpha)}\right).$$

Now we may assume that $u_{B^n(x,r)^+} \ge 1/3$ for all $x \in A$. Since every point of A is a Lebesgue point of u, we have by Poincaré inequality and Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} \le |u(x) - u_{B^n(x,r)^+}| &\le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |u_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+} - u_{B^n(x,2^{-j-1}r)^+}| \\
&\le c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \fint_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+} |u - u_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+}|\, d\mu_\alpha \\
&\le c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} r \fint_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+} |\nabla u|\, d\mu_\alpha \qquad (3.2) \\
&\le c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} r \left(\fint_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+} |\nabla u|^p\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We again split $B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+$ into "good" parts $B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^g = \{z \in B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+ : |\nabla u(z)| \le (2^{-j}r)^{-1/2}\}$ and "bad" parts $B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b = \{z \in B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^+ : |\nabla u(z)| > (2^{-j}r)^{-1/2}\}$ for all j. This gives

$$\frac{1}{3} \le c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}r)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}r)^{1-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left(e + (2^{-j}r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}r)^{1-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left(e + (2^{-j}r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad (3.3)$$

for $\lambda \ge 0$ (for $\lambda < 0$, we apply Jensen's inequality to (3.2) and use (2.1) as above to get such an inequality).

Case I. $n + \alpha - 1 < p \le n + \alpha$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \le \epsilon r^{n+\alpha-1-p} (2^{-j}r) \log^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{r}\right),$$

then we have that

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c\epsilon^{1/p} \log^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j})^{(p-n-\alpha+1)/p} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left(e + (2^{-j}r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \le c\epsilon^{1/p},$$

because $p > n - 1 + \alpha$. It follows that there is an index j_x such that

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j_x}r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \ge \epsilon_0 r^{n+\alpha-1-p} (2^{-j_x}r) \log^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$$

for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Using 5B-covering lemma we find a pairwise disjoint collection of balls of the form $B_k = B^n(x_k, r_k r)$ such that $A \subset \cup_k 5B_k$ and

$$r_k r \leq C r^{p+1-n-\alpha} \log^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \int_{B_k^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r}{3} \leq \mathcal{H}^1_\infty(A) \leq \sum_k 5 r_k r &\leq C r^{p+1-n-\alpha} \log^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_k \int_{B_k^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \\ &\leq C r^{p+1-n-\alpha} \log^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Note that for $n = 2$ it is enough to consider only Case I, but for $n \geq 3$ we will consider the following cases too.

Case II. $p = n + \alpha - 1$, $\lambda > n + \alpha - 1$. We substitute the value of p in (3.3) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j} r)^{-\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{n+\alpha-1}}\left(e + (2^{-j} r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}}.$$

If for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \leq \epsilon (2^{-j} r) \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right),$$

then we have that

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}} \log^{\frac{\lambda}{n+\alpha-1}-1}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{n+\alpha-1}}\left(e + (2^{-j} r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}}.$$

This guarantees us the existence of an index j_x such that

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j_x}r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq \epsilon_0 (2^{-j_x} r) \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$$

for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Then we use $5B$-covering lemma as above and the fact that $\frac{r}{3} \leq \mathcal{H}^1_\infty(A)$ to obtain

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq cr \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Case III. $1 + \alpha < p < n + \alpha - 1$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \leq \epsilon r^{\alpha+1-p} (2^{-j} r)^{n-1} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right),$$

then from (3.3) we have that

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\epsilon^{1/p} \log^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j})^{(p-\alpha-1)/p} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}\left(e + (2^{-j} r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq c\epsilon^{1/p},$$

because $\alpha < p-1$. Keeping in mind that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}_{\infty}(A) \geq r^{n-1}/3$, we can prove, using 5B-covering lemma similar to the previous cases, that

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq c r^{n+\alpha-p} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Case IV. $p = n+\alpha-1$, $\lambda \leq n+\alpha-1$. If for each $j = 0,1,2,\ldots$ and for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_{B^n(x,2^{-j}r)^b} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \leq \epsilon (2^{-j}r)^{n-1} \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right),$$

then from (3.3) we have that

$$\frac{1}{3} - 2cr^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}} \log^{\frac{\lambda}{n+\alpha-1}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j})^{\frac{n-2}{n+\alpha-1}} \log^{-\frac{\lambda}{n+\alpha-1}}\left(e + (2^{-j}r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha-1}},$$

for $n > 2$. Since $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}_{\infty}(A) \geq r^{n-1}/3$, the same procedure yields us

$$\int_{B^n(\xi,2r)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq cr \log^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem A. For simplicity we can assume that $E \subset I_{n-1} = (0,1)^{n-1}$. It is easy to check that (p,λ,α)-removability is equivalent to the requirement that for each $u \in W^{1,\Psi}(B^n(0,2)\setminus E, \mu_\alpha) \cap C^1(B^n(0,2)\setminus E)$, $u^+(x) = u^-(x)$ holds for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-a.e. $x \in E$. Here $u^+(x) = \lim_{t\to 0+} u(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1},t)$, $u^-(x) = \lim_{t\to 0-} u(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1},t)$ and these limits exist for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-a.e. $x = (x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1},0) \in E$, by the Fubini theorem and the fundamental theorem of calculus. Let $u \in W^{1,\Psi}(B^n(0,2)\setminus E,\mu_\alpha)\cap C^1(B^n(0,2)\setminus E)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\int_{B^n(x,r_i)} \Psi(|\nabla u(z)|)\, d\mu_\alpha(z) \geq C_x r_i^{n-1} \tag{3.4}$$

for all large i whenever $x = (x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1},0) \in E$ is such that the one-sided limits $u^+(x)$, $u^-(x)$ do not coincide and the porosity condition holds at x. Here $B^n(x,r_i)$ is the n-dimensional ball corresponding to the $(n-1)$-dimensional ball $B(x,r_i)$ from the definition of porosity. Let B_i, F_i and R_i also be retained from the definition of porosity and take $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\cap B^n(x,r_i)$ are the centres of the balls B_i in Definition 3.1 but take $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\cap B^n(x,r_i)$ such that $F_i \subset B^n(\zeta_i,R_i)$ when we have continua in Definition 3.1. By symmetry and porosity we may assume that the upper limit is 1, the lower limit is 0 and $u \leq 1/2$ in a set $A \subset B_i$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}_{\infty}(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}_{\infty}(B_i)$ or in a compact set $A \subset F_i$ with $\mathcal{H}^{1}_{\infty}(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{1}_{\infty}(F_i)$.

Let $I_n = (0,1)^n$ and I_n^+ denotes the upper half of I_n. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we can write $u \in W^{1,1+\alpha+\epsilon}(I_n^+, \mu_\alpha)$ when $\alpha \geq 0$, otherwise we can write $u \in W^{1,1+\epsilon}(I_n^+, \mu_\alpha)$. In any case, we use Hölder inequality to deduce that $u \in W^{1,1}(I_n^+)$. Then we reflect u with respect to the hyperplane $x_n = 0$ to obtain a function $v \in W^{1,1}(I_n)$, which coincides with u in the upper half plane. Now since u coincides with v in the upper half plane and our weight $|x_n|^\alpha$ is symmetric with respect to the hyperplane we reflect, so we get $v \in W^{1,1+\alpha+\epsilon}(I_n, \mu_\alpha)$ when $\alpha \geq 0$ or $v \in W^{1,1+\epsilon}(I_n, \mu_\alpha)$ otherwise. Applying Theorem 3 of [FM03] for v and using Remarks 1 & 2 of it, we conclude that for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $⨍_{B^n(z,z_n/2)} v(y)\, d\mu_\alpha(y)$ tends to 1 as $z \to x$ along $T(x,a)$ for every $a > 0$. Here $T(x,a) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : |z-x| < az_n\}$, where $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x = (x', x_n) : x_n > 0\}$, and $a > 0$. Now, assuming that i is large enough, we can take a ball $B^n(y_i, R_i)$ with $y_i = \zeta_i + (0, 0, \ldots, 2R_i)$ such that $u_{B^n(y_i,R_i)^+} \geq 1/2$.

Suppose that $⨍_{B^n(\zeta_i,R_i)^+} u\, d\mu_\alpha \leq 1/3$. Then we have by Poincaré inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} \leq |u_{B^n(\zeta_i,R_i)^+} - u_{B^n(y_i,R_i)^+}| &\leq C⨍_{B^n(\zeta_i,3R_i)^+} |u - u_{B^n(\zeta_i,3R_i)^+}|\, d\mu_\alpha \\ &\leq CR_i \left(⨍_{B^n(\zeta_i,3R_i)^+} |\nabla u|^p\, d\mu_\alpha\right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we split the balls into "good" parts and "bad" parts as in Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$\int_{B^n(x,7r_i)} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq \int_{B^n(\zeta_i,3R_i)^+} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq CR_i^{n+\alpha-p} \log^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$ (for $\lambda < 0$ we apply Jensen's inequality and use (2.1) similarly as in Lemma 3.2 to obtain this estimate).

Suppose then that $⨍_{B^n(\zeta_i,R_i)^+} u\, d\mu_\alpha \geq 1/3$. We apply Lemma 3.2 to conclude that

$$\int_{B^n(x,r_i)} \Psi(|\nabla u|)\, d\mu_\alpha \geq \begin{cases} CR_i \log^{\lambda-(n+\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) & \text{when } p = n+\alpha-1,\ \lambda > n+\alpha-1, \\ CR_i^{n+\alpha-p} \log^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{R_i}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

We take respective minimums of the two inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) and use the definition of porosity to complete the proof.

Remark 3.3. As in [Kar15] and [Kos99], we can also construct a (p, λ, α)-porous set which is not removable for $W^{1,\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_\alpha)$ for $\Psi'(t) = t^p \log^{\lambda-\epsilon}(e+t)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

References

[AB50] Lars Ahlfors and Arne Beurling. Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets. *Acta Math.*, 83(1):101–129, 1950.

[Fed69] Herbert Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.

[FM03] Toshihide Futamura and Yoshihiro Mizuta. Tangential limits and removable sets for weighted Sobolev spaces. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 33:43–57, 2003.

[HK98] Juha Heinonen and Pekka Koskela. Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry. *Acta Math.*, 181(1):1–61, 1998.

[HKM06] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. Unabridged republication of the 1993 original.

[Kar15] Nijjwal Karak. Removable sets for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Potential Anal.*, 43(4):675–694, 2015.

[Kos99] Pekka Koskela. Removable sets for Sobolev spaces. *Ark. Mat.*, 37(2):291–304, 1999.

[KW96] Robert Kaufman and Jang-Mei Wu. On removable sets for quasiconformal mappings. *Ark. Mat.*, 34(1):141–158, 1996.

[Res89] Yu. G. Reshetnyak. Space mappings with bounded distortion. *Transl. Math. Monogr.*, 73, 1989. Amer. Math. Soc. Translated from the Russian by H. H. McFaden.

[RR91] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, volume 146 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991.

[Tuo04] Heli Tuominen. Orlicz-Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, (135):86, 2004. Dissertation, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2004.

[Wu98] Jang-Mei Wu. Removability of sets for quasiconformal mappings and Sobolev spaces. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 37:491–506, 1998.

[Zie89] William P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions*, volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation.

Indian Statistical Institute Chennai Centre, SETS campus, MGR Knowledge City, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600 113

E-mail address: nijjwal@gmail.com